

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,522	09/29/2006	Robert Kopetzky	41587-397	2788
75474 KANG INTEL	7590 12/15/200 LECTUAL PROPERT	EXAM	EXAMINER	
214 ELM STREET, SUITE 106 WASHINGTON, MO 63090			CRANMER, LAURIE K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3636	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/559,522	KOPETZKY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Laurie K. Cranmer	3636	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
  - after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

    If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
   Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 August 2009.		
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		

### **Disposition of Claims**

4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)🛛	Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-24 is/are rejected.		
7)🛛	Claim(s) 11 is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		

### Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 August 2009 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

a) All b) Some \* c) None of:

1	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper Nots/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) Other:
U.P. Retint and Tentangit Office	

Application/Control Number: 10/559,522 Page 2

Art Unit: 3636

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 1-2 "the rest width" has no definite antecedent basis; and in line 15 there is a period at the end of the line.

In claim 12, line 2 "a rest portion of a seat" is a double recitation of the rest portion recited in claim 1, line 5.

In claim 13, lines 1-2 "for adjusting the rest width of a seat" is a double recitation of claim 1.

Claims 2-11 are indefinite in that they depend from an indefinite base claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4-10, 12 and 13, so far as definite, are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ball et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/559,522

Art Unit: 3636

The mounting plate is 132, the adjusting part is 135, the rest portion is 66, the adjusting unit is 148, the first adjusting direction is upwardly, along the mounting plate 132, the second adjusting direction is downwardly along the mounting plate 132, the mechanical energy storing means are two springs 158, wherein the second end of the adjusting part is coupled to the mechanical energy storage means 158 (col. 10, lines 50-54) and the first end portion is coupled to the rest portion 66 via mounting plate 132.

Claims 14-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gabas et al. See fig. 7, in particular.

The housing is 80b, the cable pull in the inner steel cable of Bowden wire 60, the adjusting unit is 90, the mechanical energy storing means is 70, the first adjustment direction is downward movement of housing 80b relative to cable pull, the second adjusting direction is upward (col. 5, lines 32-37), the electrically driven or manually driven adjustment unit is described in col. 6, liens 12-15.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Art Unit: 3636

Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ball et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Gabas et al.

Gabas et al teaches an electromechanical adjusting unit to be old and well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the adjusting device of Ball such that it were electromechanical as taught to be old by Gabas thereby providing the obvious advantage of greater ease of use for the operator.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/559,522

Art Unit: 3636

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laurie K. Cranmer whose telephone number is (571) 272-6855. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-6670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laurie K. Cranmer Primary Examiner Art Unit 3636

/Laurie K. Cranmer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3636